Optoacoustic imaging is helpful in predicting breast cancer molecular subtypes B. Dogan, G. <u>Menezes</u>, R. Butler, E. Neuschler, P. Lavin, R. Aitchison, L.F. Tucker, P. Otto, S. Grobmyer;



Conflicts of interest

 B. Dogan: None. G. Menezes: Employee; Part-time employment contract at Seno Medical Instruments. R. Butler: None. E.
Neuschler: None. P. Lavin: Consultant; Research contract with Seno Medical Instruments to provide study design and analysis services.R.
Aitchison: Consultant; Research contract with Seno Medical Instruments to provide study design and analysis services. L.F. Tucker: None. P.
Otto: None. S. Grobmyer: Advisory Board; Member of the Medical Advisory Board at Seno Medical Instruments.

Angiogenesis



How does Optoacoustic (OA) work?



Malignant

Benign

How does Optoacoustic (OA) work?



Aim of the study

 To investigate the potential role of OA/US (Optoacoustic combined with US) in noninvasively diagnosing breast cancer molecular subtypes.

- Prospective, multicenter, observational study.
- We analyzed the data retrospectively to determine the relationship between OA/US and breast cancer molecular subtypes.
- Analysis of OA/US features and tumor molecular subtypes of LUMA, LUMB, TNBC and HER2-E was performed using ANOVA, Kruskal Wallis and Wilcoxon-Mann tests.

Methods

Results

- 1690 patients with 1757 breast masses were included in this study (between 2012 and 2015).
- All masses underwent histopathological analysis.
- 1079 masses were benign and 678 were malignant.
- From these 678, 532 masses with available molecular subtypes were included in the study.
- 186 (35%) LUMA, 244 (46%) LUMB, 79 (15%) TNBCs and 23 (4%) HER2-E.
- Seven blinded readers scored the Internal and External OA/US features of identified cancers.



US and OA Scoring Systems

US Shape Scores

	0	Ovoid, parallel orientation, (wider than tall), >2/1 ratio max width to
		AP dimension
	1	Ovoid, parallel orientation, (wider than tall), < 2/1 ratio width to AP
	2	Round
Ľ	3	Irregular without angles
tu	4	Irregular, non-parallel orientation, (taller than wide), with or without angles
σ	5	Irregular with angles
Ð		
	US	Internal Texture
	0	Homogeneous hyperechoic
σ	1	Complex mixed cystic and solid
C	2	Homogeneous isoechoic or mildly hypoechoic
<u> </u>	3	Heterogeneous
J	4	Heterogeneous with internal microcalcifications
4	5	Homogeneous severely hypoechoic
	US	Sound Transmission Scores
	0	Enhanced
	1	Normal
	2	Mixed normal and enhanced
	3	Mixed enhanced and partial shadowing
	4	Partial shadowing
	5	Complete shadowing
S	US	Boundary Zone Scores
D	0	Well-circumscribed with complete thin hyperechoic capsule
H	1	Well-circumscribed with partial thin hyperechoic capsule
Ы	2	Thick well-defined capsule
σ	3	Well-circumscribed, but without thin hyperechoic capsule
a	4	Indistinct margin
Ľ	5	Thick ill-defined halo in boundary zone
	6	Frank hypoechoic and/or hyperechoic spiculations within boundary
σ		zone
	US	Peripheral Zone Scores
	0	Normal tissue
Ð	1	Critical angle phenomena
X	2	Surrounding ducts affected (duct extension or branch pattern)
	3	Surrounding affected ducts containing microcalcifications
	4	Peripheral long hyperechoic spicules

	OA/US Internal Vascularity and Deoxygenation (Vessel Score)						
	No internal vessels						
	1	Normal internal vessels without branches, red or green					
	2	Normal internal vessels with branches, mostly green					
Ľ	3	Internal speckle; green = red in amount and less red than background					
3	4	Internal speckle or signal; red > green and red > background					
	5	Multiple internal red vessels					
ຕ							
Ð	OA	/US Internal Tumor Blush and Deoxygenation (Blush Score)					
	0	No internal vessels					
	1	Minimal internal speckle, all green					
\mathbf{G}	2	Mild internal speckle; red=green and red + green < background					
	3	Mild internal speckle; red > green and both < background					
	4	Moderate internal speckle; red > green and red also > background					
Ð	5	Red blush almost fills lesion					
	OA C	/US Relative Internal Hemoglobin (Hemoglobin [Hgb] Score)					
	0	No Internal nemoglobin (Hgb)					
	1	Minimal Internal Hgb, less Hgb than background					
	2	Minimai Internai Hgb in discrete vessels, Hgb = background					
	3	Moderate Internal Hgb in discrete vessels, Hgb = background					
	4	Many large literial vessels containing hgb amount > background					
	0	/LIS External Boundary Zone (BZ) Vascularity and Decoverenation (BZ					
	Sco	ore)					
S	0	No capsular/BZ vessels					
Y	1	Normal capsular/ BZ vessel(s) without branches (long, curved, parallel					
		to capsule, not perpendicular to capsule)					
	2	Normal capsular/ BZ vessel(s) with normal tapering acutely angled					
σ		branches, mostly green					
D	3	Capsular/ BZ speckle; green = red; red < background red					
Ľ	4	Capsular/ BZ speckle; red > green; red > background red					
_	5	≥3 capsular/ BZ red vessels, some perpendicular					
ຕ	6	Boundary zone deoxygenated blush (complete or partial)					
	OA	/US Peripheral Zone Radiating Vessels Score (Peripheral Zone Score)					
	0	No peripheral zone peri-tumoral vessels					
Q	1	1 or 2 peripheral zone feeding or draining vessels, at least one green,					
S		not in a radiating pattern					
	2	> 2 peripheral zone vessels, but random orientation, not radiating					
		perpendicular to the surface of the mass					
	3	1 or 2 peripheral zone radiating vessels					
	4	> 2 peripheral zone radiating vessels on one side of the mass					

US Scoring System – Internal and External Features



OA/US Scoring System – Internal and External Features



Results OA/US - Molecular Subtypes

Molecular Subtypes	LUMA vs. LUMB p-values	LUMA vs TNBC p-values	LUMA vs. HER2-E p-values	LUMB vs. TNBC p-values	LUMB vs. HER2-E p-values	TNBC vs. HER2-E p-values
IUS and OA Scores Combined	1.6062 x10 ⁻⁷	1.5435 x10 ⁻¹⁸	3.2953 x10 ⁻⁷	2.7366 x10 ⁻⁹	0.003160	0.193116
US Sound and OA	8.4689 x10 ⁻⁹	1.1563 x10 ⁻¹⁸	0.000001	1.7741 x10 ⁻⁸	0.011655	0.198652
US Sound/BZ and OA	1.8434 x10 ⁻⁸	6.0246 x10 ⁻¹⁹	1.6953 x10 ⁻⁷	1.1369 x10 ⁻⁸	0.006252	0.260493
US Sound/Sum US Int and OA	3.6214 x10 ⁻⁹	5.7902 x10 ⁻¹⁷	9.5325 x10 ⁻⁷	2.7895 x10 ⁻⁷	0.006868	0.393699
US Sound/Sum US Ext and OA	9.3776 x10 ⁻⁹	2.0586 x10 ⁻¹⁸	2.4624 x10 ⁻⁷	2.6041 x10 ⁻⁸	0.005078	0.281403
US Sound/Sum Int and Ext and OA	1.6062 x10 ⁻⁷	1.5435 x10 ⁻¹⁸	3.2953 x10 ⁻⁷	2.7366 x10 ⁻⁹	0.003160	0.193116

Results OA/US - Molecular Subtypes

Molecular Subtypes	LUMA vs. LUMB p-values	LUMA vs TNBC p-values	LUMA vs. HER2-E p-values	LUMB vs. TNBC p-values	LUMB vs. HER2-E p-values	TNBC vs. HER2-E p-values
IUS and OA Scores Combined	1.6062 x10 ⁻⁷	<mark>1.5435 x10⁻¹⁸</mark>	3.2953 x10 ⁻⁷	2.7366 x10 ⁻⁹	0.003160	0.193116
US Sound and OA	8.4689 x10 ⁻⁹	<mark>1.1563 x10⁻¹⁸</mark>	0.000001	1.7741 x10 ⁻⁸	0.011655	0.198652
US Sound/BZ and OA	1.8434 x10 ⁻⁸	<mark>6.0246 x10⁻¹⁹</mark>	1.6953 x10 ⁻⁷	1.1369 x10 ⁻⁸	0.006252	0.260493
US Sound/Sum US Int and OA	3.6214 x10 ⁻⁹	<mark>5.7902 x10⁻¹⁷</mark>	9.5325 x10 ⁻⁷	2.7895 x10 ⁻⁷	0.006868	0.393699
US Sound/Sum US Ext and OA	9.3776 x10 ⁻⁹	<mark>2.0586 x10⁻¹⁸</mark>	2.4624 x10 ⁻⁷	2.6041 x10 ⁻⁸	0.005078	0.281403
US Sound/Sum Int and Ext and OA	p = 1.6062 x10 ⁻⁷	p = 1.5435 x10 ⁻¹⁸	3.2953 x10 ⁻⁷	2.7366 x10 ⁻⁹	0.003160	0.193116

LUMA vs. TNBC



LUMA – Predominantly External Findings



LUMA – Predominantly External Findings



LUMA – Predominantly External Findings



TNBC – Predominantly Internal Findings



LUMA vs. TNBC



HER2-E – Both Internal and External Findings (External Findings are not so prominent)



HER2-E – Both Internal and External Findings (External Findings are not so prominent)



LUMB – Both Internal and External Findings



LUMB – Both Internal and External Findings





- Limitations: small number of TNBCs (79) and HER2-E (23). We had 678 malignant masses in the study, but only 532 (78%) masses had molecular subtyping available.
- Breast tumors are usually heterogeneous and biopsy may be insufficient to assess intra-tumoral heterogeneity.
- OA/US might display the dominant feature of the whole tumor.
- If OA/US features don't match the biopsy findings, it might indicate the need for more extensive histopathologic inspection.



- It is unlikely that OA/US or any other imaging technique will make histologic biomarker analysis unnecessary.
- Nevertheless, OA/US features might help non-invasively distinguish breast cancer molecular subtypes and might facilitate management decisions.



Thank you



LUMA vs TNBC

Source of the Curve

— US_Sum_Internal — US_Sum_External

US_Sum_Int_Ext US_Ratio_TotInt_TotExt

US_Int_Tex US_Sound

— US_BZ

US PZ



Area Under the Curve

Test Result Variable(s)	Area
US_Shape	.720
US_Int_Tex	.507
US_Sound	.822
US_BZ	.730
US_PZ	.731
US_Sum_Internal	.778
US_Sum_External	.745
US_Sum_Int_Ext	.775
US Ratio TotInt TotExt	.559



OA ROC curves

Source of the Curve

- -OA Internal Vessels OA_Internal_Blush OA_Internal_Hemoglobin OA_Boundary_Zone OA_Peripheral_Zone OA_Sum_Internal OA_Sum_External
- OA_Sum_Int_Ext OA_Ratio_TotInt_TotExt

Area Under the Curve

Test Result Variable(s)	Area
OA_Internal_Vessels	.577
OA_Internal_Blush	.562
OA_Internal_Hemoglobin	.602
OA_Boundary_Zone	.353
OA_Peripheral_Zone	.315
OA_Sum_Internal	.585
OA_Sum_External	.319
OA_Sum_Int_Ext	.466
OA_Ratio_TotInt_TotExt	.780

LUMA vs TNBC



Area Under the Curve

Test Result Variable(s)	Area	
Sound_+_R_OA	.841	
Sound_+BZ_R_OA	.843	
Sound_+_Sum_Int_R_OA	.845	
Sound_+_Sum_Ext_R_OA	.825	
Sound_+_Sum_Int_Ext_R_O	.840	
A		

LUMA vs HER2-enriched

Source of the Curve

-US Int Tex

-US Sound

-US_PZ

US_Sum_Internal US_Sum_External

— US BZ

US ROC curves 1.0 0.8 Sensitivity 0.6 0.4 0.2 0.0 0.6 1.0 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.8

1 - Specificity

Area Under the Curve

Test Result Variable(s)	Area
US_Shape	.672
US_Int_Tex	.441
US_Sound	.813
US_BZ	.686
US_PZ	.549
US_Sum_Internal	.751
US_Sum_External	.625
US_Sum_Int_Ext	.715
US Ratio TotInt TotExt	.602



1 - Specificity

Area Under the Curve

OA ROC curves

Test Result Variable(s)	Area
OA_Internal_Vessels	.597
OA_Internal_Blush	.567
OA_Internal_Hemoglobin	.597
OA_Boundary_Zone	.368
OA_Peripheral_Zone	.352
OA_Sum_Internal	.596
OA_Sum_External	.358
OA_Sum_Int_Ext	.489
OA Ratio TotInt TotExt	.706

Source of the Curve

OA_Internal_Vessels OA_Internal_Blush OA_Internal_Hemoglobin OA_Boundary_Zone OA_Peripheral_Zone OA_Sum_Internal OA_Sum_External OA_Sum_External OA_Sum_Int_Ext OA_Ratio_TotInt_TotExt

LUMA vs HER2-enriched



Area Under the Curve

Test Result Variable(s)	Area	
Sound_+_R_OA	.827	
Sound_+BZ_R_OA	.810	
Sound_+_Sum_Int_R_OA	.835	
Sound_+_Sum_Ext_R_OA	.813	
Sound_:_Sum_Int_Ext_R_O	.830	
Δ		